

eEvaluate Report - Summer 2020

Course: ECON 1123-201

College of Arts and Sciences

Total Enrollment: 25

Section Title: Principles of Economics-Micro

Course Level: Lower 0000 - 2000

Instructor: Amir Tayebi

Section Size: Small 16-25

Question	Level	Mean Response	Median Response	Standard Deviation	ZScore	Responses	Percent #1	Percent #2	Percent #3	Percent #4	Percent #5	Dept Rank	College Rank
1. Extent to which the instructor contributed to your learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.04447		12	0.00	8.33	25.00	25.00	41.67	100.00	56.04
	DEPARTMENT	3.55556	4	1.14903		18	0.00	22.22	27.78	22.22	27.78		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.99225	4	0.99119	0.01	516	2.52	4.46	20.93	35.47	36.63		
	COLLEGE	4.09115	4	0.96865	-0.09	2,622	2.25	3.74	18.04	34.59	41.38		
2. Ability of the instructor to respond to a wide range of questions about the material in this course	INDIVIDUAL	4.16667	5	1.02986		12	0.00	8.33	16.67	25.00	50.00	100.00	40.66
	DEPARTMENT	3.83333	4	1.15045		18	0.00	16.67	22.22	22.22	38.89		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.22674	5	1.03198	-0.06	516	1.94	5.81	15.31	21.51	55.43		
	COLLEGE	4.31808	5	0.98728	-0.15	2,622	2.10	4.31	12.36	22.16	59.08		
3. Instructor's promptness in returning exams and assignments so they could be useful for learning	INDIVIDUAL	4.75000	5	0.45227		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	75.00	100.00	81.32
	DEPARTMENT	3.88889	5	1.52966		18	11.11	16.67	0.00	16.67	55.56		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.15922	5	1.10969	0.53	515	3.50	6.60	13.98	22.33	53.59		
	COLLEGE	4.25067	5	1.06081	0.47	2,621	3.09	5.19	12.74	21.52	57.46		
4. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.86603		12	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	100.00	52.75
	DEPARTMENT	3.72222	4	1.27443		18	5.56	11.11	27.78	16.67	38.89		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.18835	5	1.00459	0.06	515	1.94	5.63	14.17	28.16	50.10		
	COLLEGE	4.24333	5	1.01058	0.01	2,622	2.48	4.16	14.49	24.29	54.58		
5. Instructor's ability to stimulate continuing interest in the subject matter	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	1.33712		12	8.33	8.33	16.67	25.00	41.67	100.00	45.06
	DEPARTMENT	3.33333	4	1.53393		18	16.67	16.67	16.67	16.67	33.33		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.94369	4	1.13946	-0.10	515	4.85	6.02	20.58	26.99	41.55		
	COLLEGE	4.11870	5	1.11124	-0.26	2,620	4.12	5.27	15.95	23.93	50.73		
6. Overall instructor's teaching effectiveness was	INDIVIDUAL	4.00000	4	1.04447		12	0.00	8.33	25.00	25.00	41.67	100.00	45.06
	DEPARTMENT	3.38889	3	1.33456		18	11.11	11.11	33.33	16.67	27.78		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.08560	4	1.10133	-0.08	514	3.89	5.45	16.93	25.68	48.05		
	COLLEGE	4.18168	5	1.07623	-0.17	2,620	3.51	5.08	14.16	24.24	53.02		
7. Instructor's management of the course was	INDIVIDUAL	4.25000	5	0.86603		12	0.00	0.00	25.00	25.00	50.00	100.00	49.45
	DEPARTMENT	3.50000	4	1.46528		18	16.67	5.56	22.22	22.22	33.33		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.20155	5	1.07490	0.05	516	3.49	4.46	15.31	21.90	54.84		
	COLLEGE	4.24551	5	1.07068	0.00	2,619	3.44	4.70	13.33	20.96	57.58		
8. Amount you learned in this class	INDIVIDUAL	3.75000	4	1.05529		12	0.00	16.67	16.67	41.67	25.00	100.00	38.75
	DEPARTMENT	3.55556	4	0.98352		18	0.00	16.67	27.78	38.89	16.67		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.93631	4	0.92953	-0.20	471	2.12	3.61	22.93	41.19	30.15		
	COLLEGE	4.04035	4	0.92837	-0.31	2,404	1.75	3.74	19.43	38.89	36.19		
9. Workload of this course compared to others a similar level	INDIVIDUAL	2.66667	3	0.65134		12	8.33	16.67	75.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	2.50
	DEPARTMENT	2.94444	3	0.72536		18	5.56	11.11	66.67	16.67	0.00		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.54777	3	0.81303	-1.08	471	0.85	2.34	53.72	27.39	15.71		
	COLLEGE	3.56229	3	0.85720	-1.04	2,408	1.00	3.65	51.25	26.33	17.77		
10. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	INDIVIDUAL	3.41667	3	0.79296		12	0.00	8.33	50.00	33.33	8.33	100.00	20.00
	DEPARTMENT	3.22222	3	1.00326		18	5.56	11.11	50.00	22.22	11.11		
	SIMILAR_COL	3.87872	4	1.01175	-0.46	470	2.34	6.38	24.68	34.26	32.34		
	COLLEGE	4.02161	4	1.00039	-0.60	2,406	1.91	5.74	20.28	32.42	39.65		
11. Overall, this course was	INDIVIDUAL	3.83333	4	0.93744		12	0.00	8.33	25.00	41.67	25.00	100.00	37.50
	DEPARTMENT	3.44444	4	1.19913		18	5.56	16.67	27.78	27.78	22.22		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.00000	4	1.07188	-0.16	471	3.82	5.94	16.56	33.76	39.92		
	COLLEGE	4.13222	4	1.05977	-0.28	2,405	3.37	4.99	15.22	27.90	48.52		
12. This course was graded fairly	INDIVIDUAL	4.91667	5	0.28868		12	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.33	91.67	100.00	66.25
	DEPARTMENT	4.66667	5	0.48507		18	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.33	66.67		
	SIMILAR_COL	4.66030	5	0.70507	0.36	471	1.27	0.64	4.03	18.90	75.16		
	COLLEGE	4.69563	5	0.67742	0.33	2,405	0.79	1.16	4.12	15.55	78.38		

eEvaluate Report - Summer 2020

College of Arts and Sciences

Course: ECON 1123-201

Section Title: Principles of Economics-Micro

Instructor: Amir Tayebi

Total Enrollment: 25

Course Level: Lower 0000 - 2000

Section Size: Small 16-25

Question

1. What were the strong points of the course?
2. What were the weak points of the course?
3. What should the instructor do to improve their teaching?
4. What is your overall opinion of this course?

Comment

1. Amir really cared about us learning the material. Was always there with help.
 2. None. Well online maybe but not his fault.
 3. Nothing. Keep on being a G
 4. Course itself: not good hate economics. Amir with the course: dope. Great dude, great course
1. His grasp of the material and his ability to communicate the material
 2. None
 3. Just keep working making the information easier to comprehend and digest
 4. I enjoyed it
1. I think that each concept was explained well, building off the last chapter covered.
 2. N/A
 3. Nothing, thought everything was great.
 4. This was my first business course coming from a degree change, so it was great getting such a good first impression from the business school. I really enjoyed how much emphasis was focused on the actual learning instead of earning a grade. If you learned the concept and proved it, we got the grade we deserved which was fantastic. It took less pressure off trying to be perfect, and let me and i'm sure more students focus on the actual concepts.
1. Friendly professor, multiple resources.
 2. Test were some what unrelated to the subject that was taught. It was rather hard.
 3. Maybe more in class activities rather than a long lecture
 4. Good
1. This course was great because our professor truly wanted us to learn the material and it showed through his teaching style.
 2. Exams were a little challenging but overall this was a great course!
 - 3.
 4. This course was great and I learned a lot of material.
1. He used good examples to explain the material.
 2. Sometime felt like he was rambling on a lot.
 3. Try to make the material more interesting since the class was so long everyday.
 4. The course was overall pretty good.
1. Amir is very intelligent and is willing to work with you to ensure you get the grade you want.
 2. I found his lectures boring most of the time, but to his credit, it wasn't supposed to be a virtual class.
 3. If he could incorporate more games and discussions in his lectures I think that would help him maintain the attention of his students. Reading straight from the PowerPoint less often may help as well.
 4. I wish the course had been more stimulating and in an in-person format. The material and method of teaching was not my favorite. However, it is clear Amir cares about his students and wants to be as helpful as he can be. I respect and admire him as a person and I believe he will only continue to improve.
1. Great learning and explanations
 2. I payed \$2000 extra bc its out of state.... on an online course.
 3. Just more experience
 4. Great class and teacher but I hate online cources in general

eEvaluate Report - Summer 2020

1. The professor was excellent and very understanding to the difficulties of the situation of online classes. Encouraged discussion and attendance
2. Only quizzes and tests so if youre a bad test taker you are out of luck
3. Maybe more material to do like homeworks
4. Was a very good class, learned a lot of important information and enjoyed the professor very much

1. Opportunity Costs

2. None

3. Nothing, he was amazing.

4. Amazing, very well taught. Teacher made the course better and easier to learn.

1. I believe that the strongest points in this course were the constant involvement of Amir. He really drove home the point of what we needed to learn. He would spend how much ever time he needed to help me learn things I was struggling with.

2. I think the only weak point of this course is that you do it 2 hours a day, 5 days a week. Towards the end of the week, you can feel a bit burnt out.

3. I don't have any suggestions for this. I believe the way Amir taught was superb.

4. I really enjoyed this class!

eEvaluate Report - Summer 2020

Response Key

1. Extent to which the instructor contributed to your learning	1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average
2. Ability of the instructor to respond to a wide range of questions about the material in this course	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent
3. Instructor's promptness in returning exams and assignments so they could be useful for learning	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent
4. Instructor's ability to encourage critical and independent thinking	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent
5. Instructor's ability to stimulate continuing interest in the subject matter	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent
6. Overall instructor's teaching effectiveness was	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent
7. Instructor's management of the course was	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent
8. Amount you learned in this class	1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average
9. Workload of this course compared to others a similar level	1 = Far Below Average, 2 = Below Average, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Far Above Average
10. Quality of readings and/or assigned course materials	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent
11. Overall, this course was	1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent
12. This course was graded fairly	1 = Never, 2 = Seldom, 3 = Occasionally, 4 = Usually, 5 = Always